

CRITERIA	5	4	3	2	1	SCORE/Comments
Narrative: Clear and compelling summary of applicant's research/scholarly/creative activities, goals, and potential contribution(s) to their field and/or society.	Outstanding 5	Very good 4	Adequate 3	Needs work 2	Poor 1	
Mechanics: Text of proposal is organized, well-written, and stated in terms easily understood by readers of diverse disciplines.	Outstanding 5	Very good 4	Adequate 3	Needs work 2	Poor 1	
Scholarship: Compelling rationale for how the award would impact applicant's research/scholarly/creative activities and output.	Outstanding 5	Very good 4	Adequate 3	Needs work 2	Poor 1	
Teaching: Compelling rationale for how the award would impact applicant's content knowledge, course offerings, mentorship, and/or pedagogy.	Outstanding 5	Very good 4	Adequate 3	Needs work 2	Poor 1	
Spending Plan: Applicant suggests realistic possible uses for funding that would clearly benefit their scholarship and teaching, providing promise for their career.	Outstanding 5	Very good 4	Adequate 3	Needs work 2	Poor 1	

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS (optional):